



# Findings and interim recommendations

Richard Laux
UK Statistics Authority

#### Aims of the review

To identify barriers to trust

To review steps taken to overcome those barriers and

To make recommendations as appropriate

### **Building on.....**

- Review of Crime Statistics: A Discussion Document (Jon Simmons) July 2000
- Crime Statistics: User Perspectives (Statistics Commission) September 2006
- Crime Statistics: An Independent Review (Adrian Smith) November 2006
- Engaging Communities in Fighting Crime (Louise Casey) June 2008

## Main findings: summarising the available evidence

- General background of distrust in official statistics
- Public perceptions about crime and sentencing are at variance with actual trends
- Smaller 'perception gap' at a local level views more rooted in actual experience
- Media criticism appears to have an influence
- Police more trusted as a source of information than civil servants or politicians

## Main findings: Specific factors that hinder trust in crime statistics

- Recorded crime 'versus' British Crime Survey
   confusion and/or cherry picking
- Notifiable list, classification of crime etc impression of complexity and constant change
- High level of political and media interest/scrutiny – sometimes very critical

## Main recommendations (1/2)

Independent oversight of production arrangements

Maintain and enhance access to comprehensive data but

- Move the commentary up a gear
  - More comment, less description
  - Addressing the big issues
  - Commissioned by the National Statistician
  - Independence, objectivity and expertise need to be widely accepted

## Main Recommendations (2/2)

A guide to preferred sources

More guidance on communicating statistics at a local level

Improved access to recorded crime and BCS data

A more joined up approach to statistics across the criminal justice system

### **Next steps**

January Written comments on the report

authority.consultations@statistics.gsi.gov.uk

February Project board meets to review comments

Additional research/meetings/interviews

(eg quality of data on recorded crime)

April Publication of final report





## Overcoming barriers to trust in crime statistics – Interim report

#### **Initial views from Home Office Statistics**

#### **David Blunt**

**Chief Statistician** 

18 January 2010



## Structure of presentation

- Recent changes within the Home Office to improve trust in Home Office statistics
- Some key messages in the Interim report from a Home Office statistician's perspective
- Home Office statistician's initial reactions to the 8 recommendations
- Other things to bear in mind moving forward





## Overcoming barriers to trust in crime statistics – Interim report

#### **Julian Corner**

Head of Crime Strategy

18 January 2010



### **Outline**

- ➤ The purpose of the crime statistics, including the overarching principles that govern our approach and that should drive any future changes
- > The integrity of the crime statistics
- Who should be responsible for crime statistics





## Providing information to the public about crime and policing

Paul Quinton



### A qualitative understanding



- Crime statistics presented by the local police were generally more trusted than national figures
- At the time, awareness of crime maps was low
- Most people thought they had a 'right' to crime information about their local area
- But they were not sure when they would use local crime maps – unless making a personal decision – because they already 'knew' which areas were safe
- Respondents felt additional information on local policing and police performance would increase the usefulness of the crime maps to the public

### A quantitative evaluation



- There is limited evidence on the impact of crime maps on public perceptions
- A US study showed maps were no worse, and in some cases better, than statistics in their effect on worry about crime & neighbourhood stigmatisation
- The NPIA is conducting a randomised controlled trial to assess the impact of crime maps and/or information about local policing on perceptions
- The qualitative research suggests an 'across-theboard' impact may be unlikely, but that a positive effect may be detected for some social groups

